# Bachelor of Science with a major in Political Science, Macon

**Semester reporting: Spring Semester 2021** 

**Reporting cycle: Annual Reporting Cycle** 

**Academic Program Assessment by Location Report Information** 

Prepared on: 5/26/2021 1:44:26 PM	Prepared by: christopher.lawrence@mga.edu
	Email address of person responsible for this
	report: christopher.lawrence@mga.edu
In which school is this program located?	Education and Behavioral Sciences
Program Type:	Undergraduate
Approximately how many students are enrolled	19
in this program at this location?	

7. SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)	Describe and identify the theoretical underpinnings of the study of politics and government.
8. SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, demonstration of ability, lab assignment)	Exam (ETS Major Field Test) – Total test score
9. SLO 1: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	50% of students should have a scaled score at or above the national mean (152.2)
10. SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome? (this should be a number between 0-100)	34
11. SLO 1: Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome?	One student exceeded the benchmark while a second student was within 2 points, suggesting we were close to meeting this target. As SLO 1 reflects general performance across the curriculum, we generally look to SLOs 2, 3, and 4 to identify areas of study that need reinforcement instead.

12. SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)	Describe and identify the formal and informal institutions and processes of, and political behavior within, the political system of the United States and those of other countries.
13. SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, demonstration of ability, lab assignment)	Exam (ETS Major Field Test) — Subscore 1
14. SLO 2: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on).	50% of students should have a scaled subscore at or above the national mean (52.2)
15. SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome? (this should be a number between 0-100)	34
16. SLO 2: Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome?	As with SLO 1, one student exceeded the benchmark score while one was only a few points short of exceeding the benchmark. Nonetheless this does suggest a weakness in student training in American politics on the Macon campus. To address this, we are going to make use of videoconferencing technology to provide access to more American politics electives to students on the Macon campus starting in spring 2022.

17. SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning Outcome for this academic program? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to) 18. SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech, demonstration of ability, lab assignment)	Describe and identify the key features of the international system, including interactions between countries, and the differences between how various countries are governed around the world.  Exam (ETS Major Field Test) – Subscores 2 and 3
19. SLO 3: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on).	50% of students should have scaled scores at or above the national mean in the IR (52.9) and CP (52.3) assessments
20. SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome? (this should be a number between 0-100)	34
21. SLO 3: Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome?	As with SLOs 1 and 2, the pattern of performance was similar for SLO 3: one student exceeding the benchmark and one within a few points of the benchmark. On the Macon campus, international relations was the weakest field, which is not surprising since our faculty member with the greatest expertise in IR is based on the Cochran campus and we only recently were able to recruit a part-time faculty member to teach more advanced IR courses in person in Macon. The weakness in comparative politics was not as egregious. The combination of videoconferencing (as noted in SLO 2) and the recruitment of a new faculty member in Cochran with expertise in comparative politics and IR should lead to better student performance in future cohorts.

22. SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning	Identify and use appropriate methodologies for
Outcome for this academic program? Student	collecting, analyzing, and presenting data and
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	evidence in written, oral, and graphical form,
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	within the context of political science.
to)	
23. SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type)	Research paper assignment with rubric
was used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(i.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment)	
24. SLO 4: What target performance level would	70% of students should achieve level 2 or higher
a student need to achieve on the assessment	("Good") in range 0-4) on rubric in each category
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on	
25. SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what	80
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment demonstrated mastery of this	
learning outcome? (this should be a number	
between 0-100)	
26. SLO 4: Evidence of changes based on an	Since 80% of students met the target
analysis of the results: What changes were	performance level we did not determine that any
implemented based on an analysis of the	changes in courses or curriculum were necessary
students' performance on this Student Learning	for future improvements.
Outcome?	·

### Sampling

27. How many students participated in the	3
assessment of these learning outcomes, in this	
program, for this assessment cycle at this	
location?	

### **Open Box for Assessment Comments**

20. In this field, please degument the sward! was	As noted above, we used the results of CLOs 1.2
28. In this field, please document the overall use	As noted above, we used the results of SLOs 1-3
of assessment results for continuous	to implement some changes in our teaching,
improvement and Open Text Box For Assessment	namely the recruitment of a replacement full-
Comments:	time faculty member with greater expertise in
	comparative politics and giving access to more IR
	and comparative courses to Macon-based
	students through the future use of
	videoconferencing to deliver elective courses,
	which should result in future improvements in
	student performance.
	3 students were assessed on SLOs 1-3; 5 students
	were assessed on SLO 4.
	One of the three students who was assessed on
	the MFT in Macon in POLS 4900 is not expected
	to graduate until spring 2022, so their scores
	might well have been higher had they taken the
	MFT as a graduating senior. If this students'
	scores had been omitted, we would have met the
	benchmark on SLOs 1-3.
29. If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this	There were no obvious impacts of COVID-19 on
assessment cycle, please provide specific details	these results beyond those affecting the
below.	university and its students in general. It is
	possible that some of the observed weakness in
	SLOs 1-3 might reflect the abrupt shift to online
	teaching in March 2020 but we have no direct
	evidence to support such a conclusion.
	- Print