# Bachelor of Science with a major in Information Technology, Macon, Macon

Semester reporting: Fall Semester 2021

Reporting cycle: Annual Reporting Cycle

#### **Academic Program Assessment Report Information**

<b>Prepared on:</b> 7/29/2022 12:45:05 PM	Prepared by: neil.rigole@mga.edu
Email address of person responsible for this	neil.rigole@mga.edu
report:	
In which college or school is this program	Computing
located?	
Program Type:	Undergraduate
Approximately how many students are in this	0
program at this location?	

## **Student Learning Outcomes**

SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning	Analyze a complex computing problem and to
Outcome for this academic program? Student	apply principles of computing and other relevant
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	disciplines to identify solutions.
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	,
to)	
SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was	The assignment case study:
used to measure student's ability to	Part One: Students will analyze a website to
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	identify and outline its information system
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	processes and procedures.
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	Part Two: Students will use their system
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	requirement document to construct a visual
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	(graphic) model to compose th
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 1: What target performance level would a	80%
student need to achieve on the assessment	
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 1: Provide details for your target	Accreditation requirement
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	·
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what	100%
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 1: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	The Curriculum Committee reviewed the results
changes based on an analysis of the results:	on April 26, 2022 and noted:
What changes were implemented based on an	1. The target for performance for the
analysis of the students' performance on this	student outcome was 80% of the students
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	assessed who scored a "satisfactory" or
improvement must be kept and filed in the	"exemplary."
department or academic unit including but not	2. The percentage of students that
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	demonstrated each performance indicator were
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	as follows:
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	a. PI1: 92%
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	b. PI2: 81%
Major changes to curriculum must go through	In addition, the Curriculum Committee noted:
the Academic Affairs process.)	1. The target was achieved in both PIs, yet the
	online section did not meet the threshold for PI2
	(75%).
	The Curriculum Committee recommended:
	1. Upon speaking with the faculty who
	taught the courses, the performance of the

online group on PI2 was somewhat of an anomaly
that was impacted by the performance of a small
group of students who did not finish the graphic.
Since there were no apparent issues with the
data, the faculty should continue to monitor in
future reviews.

SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning	Recognize professional responsibilities and make
Outcome for this academic program? Student	informed judgments in computing practice based
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	on legal and ethical principles.
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) was	Essay
used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 2: What target performance level would a	80%
student need to achieve on the assessment	
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 2: Provide details for your target	Accreditation requirement
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what	100%
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	
and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 2: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	The Curriculum Committee reviewed the results
changes based on an analysis of the results:	on April 26, 2022 and noted:
What changes were implemented based on an	The target for performance for the
analysis of the students' performance on this	student outcome was 80% of the students
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	assessed who scored a "satisfactory" or
improvement must be kept and filed in the	"exemplary."
department or academic unit including but not	
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	

assignments, syllabi, course instruction	The percentage of students that
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	demonstrated each performance indicator were
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	as follows:
Major changes to curriculum must go through	o PI1: 100%
the Academic Affairs process.)	o PI2: 100%
	o PI3: 100%
	In addition, the Curriculum Committee noted:
	The target was achieved in all three PIs.
	The Curriculum Committee recommended:
	No issues were apparent with the data. The
	committee commends the faculty teaching the
	courses on their exemplary efforts and will
	continue to monitor in future reviews.

SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning	Identify and analyze user needs and to take them
Outcome for this academic program? Student	into account in the selection, creation,
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	integration, evaluation, and administration of
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	computing-based systems.
to)	
SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) was	Project in ITEC 3245
used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 3: What target performance level would a	80%
student need to achieve on the assessment	
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 3: Provide details for your target	Accreditation requirement
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	
requirement, past performance data, peer	
program review, etc.)	
SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what	100%
percent of the students who participated in this	
assessment met the target performance level	

and demonstrated mastery of this learning	
outcome.	
SLO 3: Improvement Plans and Evidence of	The Curriculum Committee reviewed the results
changes based on an analysis of the results:	on April 26, 2022 and noted:
What changes were implemented based on an	1. The target for performance for the
analysis of the students' performance on this	student outcome was 80% of the students
Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the	assessed who scored a "satisfactory" or
improvement must be kept and filed in the	"exemplary."
department or academic unit including but not	The percentage of students that demonstrated
limited to: changes in exam questions, reading	each performance indicator were as follows:
assignments, syllabi, course instruction	a. PI1: 86%
materials or assignments. Both old versions and	b. PI2: 91%
new versions should be kept on file for 10 years.	c. PI3: 86%
Major changes to curriculum must go through	In addition, the Curriculum Committee noted:
the Academic Affairs process.)	1. The target was achieved in all three PIs
	The Curriculum Committee recommended:
	Continue to monitor in future reviews.

SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning	NA
Outcome for this academic program? Student	
Learning Outcomes should be stated in	
measurable terms (i.e. students will be able	
to)	
SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type) was	NANA
used to measure student's ability to	
demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome?	
(I.e. exam, assignment with rubric, speech,	
demonstration of ability, lab assignment) and	
provide specific details of the instrument (e.g.	
Exam 2, Course HLSA 3800; Final Group Project,	
HIST 3900) is learning outcome?	
SLO 4: What target performance level would a	NA
student need to achieve on the assessment	
instrument to demonstrate mastery of this	
learning outcome? (I.e. 80% of all students will	
earn an average grade of 75% or better on)	
SLO 4: Provide details for your target	NA
performance level established (i.e. accreditation	

requirement, past performance data, peer program review, etc.)	
SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment met the target performance level and demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome.	0
SLO 4: Improvement Plans and Evidence of changes based on an analysis of the results: What changes were implemented based on an analysis of the students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome? (Evidence of the improvement must be kept and filed in the department or academic unit including but not limited to: changes in exam questions, reading assignments, syllabi, course instruction materials or assignments. Both old versions and new versions should be kept on file for 10 years. Major changes to curriculum must go through the Academic Affairs process.)	NA

## Sampling

How many students participated in the	54
assessment of these learning outcomes, in this	
program, for this assessment cycle at this	
location?	

#### **Open Box for Assessment Comments**

Required: In this field, please document the	1. The summative assessment data are
overall use of assessment results for continuous	collected for all student outcomes.
improvement (consider the past, present, and	2. The Faculty Coordinator for each Student
future and specifically address these in your	Outcome collects data on each Performance
narrative).	Indicator of a given Student Outcome. Each
	Performance Indicator has a designated course
	known as the Source of Assessment.
	3. Each Performance Indictor will have an
	assessment instrument with associated rubric(s)
	for the purpose of data collection. The approved
	assessment instruments are attached as
	appendices.

	4. The Source of Assessment Faculty
	Coordinator analyzes the data and submits the
	results to the ABET Facilitator by Week 3 of the
	semester following the data collection.
	5. The ABET Facilitator and the Curriculum
	Committee convene to evaluate the results by
	Week 8 of the semester following the data
	collection.
	6. The Curriculum Committee will present
	their recommendations to the DoIT Faculty by
	Week 12 of the semester following the data
	collection.
	7. Actions are taken based on the findings
	and recommendations of the faculty to improve
	student outcome for the next assessment cycle.
Optional Open Text Box For Assessment	stadent battome for the flext assessment cycle.
Comments:	
If the COVID-19 pandemic impacted this	
assessment cycle, please provide specific details	
below. (Also submit any COVID-19	
correspondence from your accrediting body to	
assessment@mga.edu when you submit this	
form with your Department name and program	
in the subject line.)	