

Middle Georgia State University Academic Program Assessment

Instructions. This form collects assessment information for all academic programs at Middle Georgia State University. Program directors, chairs, or deans, should submit one form each year (or semester) for each academic program and for each site the academic program is offered (https://www.mga.edu/institutionalresearch/docs/Programs by Location.pdf) (i.e. if a program is offered in Macon and Cochran, separate assessments unique to the students enrolled at each location should be submitted). It is essential that improvements based on the assessment are also clearly identified and that the department keeps evidence of those improvements (i.e. new exams, syllabi, instructional tools) when an improvement is identified and implemented. Major changes to curriculum must go through the Academic Affairs process. Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) should match the Assessment Plan and Curriculum Maps found here: https://www.mga.edu/provost/program-histories.php; if they don't please contact OIRDS to update them. NOTE: All fields are required, please place NA or O in response field ONLY if SLO is not being utilized, otherwise full responses are required. Provide ALL necessary information requested to the fullest extent possible, such that a peer reviewer is not required to assume any information not provided. Utilize the provided assessment scoring rubric drafting guideline to evaluate your report prior to submission. https://www.mga.edu/institutionalresearch/docs/IEB Academic Program, Student Support, Advising Scoring Card.pdf

\*\*Please SUBMIT the form within 30 minutes of opening this page. If you wait too long to submit you may lose your work\*\* In the event that you need to edit your submission, you may contact the Director of Institutional Effectiveness to secure a custom link to edit and resubmit.

Q1. Submitters Email		
neil.rigole@mga.edu		

Q2. For which program is this assessment being submitted? An academic program for this purpose is defined as a major within a degree program (i.e. Bachelor of Arts with a major in English, Bachelor of Science with a major in Chemistry, Associates in Occupational Therapy Assistant).

Doctorate of Science in Information Technology	

Q3. For which campus is this program assessment being submitted? Note: A separate assessment report is needed for each location a program is offered.

Macon

○ Eastman
O Dublin
○ Warner Robins
<ul><li>Online</li></ul>
Q4. In which College is this program located?
Arts and Letters
Aviation
Health and Natural Sciences
○ Business
Computing
Education and Behavioral Sciences
Q5. Program Type
<ul><li>Graduate</li></ul>
○ Undergraduate
○ Certificate
Q6. Which semester were the data collected and analyzed? If across multiple semesters, select the latest semester of data.
○ Summer 2022
○ Fall 2022
Spring 2023
Q7. Approximately how many students are enrolled in this program at this location?
48
8. SLO 1: What is the first Student Learning Outcome for this support area? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)
Apply relevant methodologies to develop an innovative information technology solution.

9. SLO 1: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. test, survey, etc) and provide specific details of the instrument (e.g. name, content areas, link etc.)
Strategic IT Plan (document) in ITEC 7230
10. SLO 1: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on)
90% of students will earn a score of at least 80% of the assessment
11. SLO 1: Provide details for your target performance level established (i.e. accreditation requirement, past performance data, peer program review, etc)
Performance data from MSIT program
12. SLO 1: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome? (this should be a number between 0-100)
NA - Not assessed this cycle
13. SLO 1: Improvement Plans and Evidence of Changes Based on Performance Analysis: How does the analysis of students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome inform the implementation of improvement plans, and what evidence is collected and documented to support these changes?
NA

14. SLO 2: What is the second Student Learning Outcome for this support area? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)
Formulate effective leadership strategies to guide information technology within organizations (Program SLO 2)
15. SLO 2: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. test, survey, etc) and provide specific details of the instrument (e.g. name, content areas, link etc.)
Leadership Development Plan
16. SLO 2: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on)
90%
17. SLO 2: Provide details for your target performance level established (i.e. accreditation requirement, past performance data, peer program review, etc)
MSIT past performance data
18. SLO 2: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome? (this should be a number between 0-100)
93%

19. SLO 2: Improvement Plans and Evidence of Changes Based on Performance Analysis: How does the analysis of students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome inform the implementation of improvement plans, and what evidence is collected and documented to support these changes?
No issues were apparent with the data and the vast majority students performed above the target. The faculty teaching the courses will continue to monitor in future reviews.
20. SLO 3: What is the third Student Learning Outcome for this support area? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)
Evaluate information technology policy, compliance, and legal requirements within organizations
21. SLO 3: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. test, survey, etc) and provide specific details of the instrument (e.g. name, content areas, link etc.)
Research Project/Paper in ITEC 8120
22. SLO 3: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on)
90%
23. SLO 3: Provide details for your target performance level established (i.e. accreditation requirement, past performance data, peer program review, etc)
Past MSIT performance data

24. SLO 3: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome? (this should be a number between 0-100)
NA - Not assessed this cycle
25. SLO 3: Improvement Plans and Evidence of Changes Based on Performance Analysis: How does the analysis of students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome inform the implementation of improvement plans, and what evidence is collected and documented to support these changes?
NA
26. SLO 4: What is the fourth Student Learning Outcome for this support area? Student Learning Outcomes should be stated in measurable terms (i.e. students will be able to)
Conduct information technology research to create knowledge.
27. SLO 4: What instrument (assessment type) was used to measure student's ability to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. test, survey, etc) and provide specific details of the instrument (e.g. name, content areas, link etc.)
Final Research Paper/Project
28. SLO 4: What target performance level would a student need to achieve on the assessment instrument to demonstrate mastery of this learning outcome? (i.e. 80% of all students will earn an average grade of 75% or better on)
90%

29. SLO 4: Provide details for your target performance level established (i.e. accreditation requirement, past performance data, peer program review, etc)
Past MSIT performance data
30. SLO 4: During this assessment cycle, what percent of the students who participated in this assessment demonstrated mastery of this learning outcome? (this should be a number between 0-100)
100
31. SLO 4: Improvement Plans and Evidence of Changes Based on Performance Analysis: How does the analysis of students' performance on this Student Learning Outcome inform the implementation of improvement plans, and what evidence is collected and documented to support these changes?
No issues were apparent with the data and all students performed above the target. The faculty teaching the courses will continue to monitor in future reviews.
Q41. List each program concentration or track within the larger academic program and clearly articulate the expected learning outcomes. (If distinct note them distinctly, if common restate).
NA
Q42. How do you collect and report data on the achievement of these learning outcomes for each program concentration or track?
The Student Outcomes are assessed using direct assessments via core courses in the program. The outcomes indicate the specific characteristics students should demonstrate as evidence of achievement. Actions are taken based on the findings and recommendations of the faculty to improve student outcomes for the next assessment cycle.

NA - The program does not have concentrations or tracks.
32. How many students participated in the assessment of these learning outcomes, in this program, for this assessment cycle at this location? (Provide Number)
28
33. Based on your goals and objectives listed above please indicate their connection with MGA's Strategic Plan (https://www.mga.edu/about/docs/Strategic_Plan_Overall_DB.pdf) by checking all associated and relevant Imperatives / Strategies from the list below. (Check all the apply)
✓ Grow Enrollment with Purpose 1. Expand and enrich the face to face student experience
Grow Enrollment with Purpose 2. Expand and enrich online instruction into new markets
✓ Own Student Success 3. Develop academic pipelines and expand degrees
Own Student Success 4. Expand student engagement and experiential learning
Build Shared Culture 5. Attract talent and enhance employee development and recognition
Build Shared Culture 6. Sustain financial health through resourceful fiscal management
Build Shared Culture 7. Cultivate engagement with its local communities
34. Please indicate which of the following actions you have taken as a result of the 2021/2022 Assessment Cycle (Note: These actions are documented in reports, memos, emails, meeting minutes, or other directive within the reporting area)(Check all the apply)
✓ Disseminating/Discussing Assessment Results/Feedback to Appropriate Members of the Campus Community
Disseminating/Discussing Assessment Results/Feedback to Appropriate External Stakeholders
Faculty or Staff Support: Professional Development Activities, Trainings, Workshops, Technical Assistance
Process Changes: Improve, Expand, Refine, Enhance, Discontinue, etc Operational Processes
Request for Additional Financial or Human Resources
Customer Service Changes: Communication, Services, etc
Making Improvements to Teaching Approach, Course Design, Curriculum, Scheduling, other
Evaluating and/or Revising the Reporting Lines Internal Assessment Processes
☐ Other

35. Please indicate (if appropriate) any local, state, or national initiatives (academic or otherwise) that are influential in the operations, or goals, and objectives of your unit. (Complete College Georgia, USG High Impact Practice Initiative, LEAP, USG Momentum Year, Low-Cost No-Cost Books, etc)

	NA
im	. Please provide a comprehensive narrative outlining how assessment results are utilized for continuous provement in this field. Your narrative should address the past, present, and future aspects of assessment, th specific emphasis on how these results inform decision-making and drive improvement efforts.
	Actions are taken based on the findings and recommendations of the faculty to improve student outcome for the next assessment cycle. No issues were apparent with the data and all students performed above the target. The faculty teaching the courses will continue to monitor in future reviews.
	. Optional: The following upload portal is available to supplement your report with supportive cumentation should you wish to provide any (instruments, data, etc).